

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

RICHARD EVERS
Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONWIDE GENERAL
INSURANCE COMPANY
Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:17-cv-604

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendant, Nationwide General Insurance Company (“Defendant”), through undersigned counsel and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441 and 1446, files this Notice of Removal of the lawsuit captioned *Richard Evers v. Nationwide General Insurance Company*; Cause No. 2017CI10102, in the 438th Judicial District of Bexar County, Texas.

**I.
BACKGROUND**

1. Plaintiff Richard Evers (hereinafter “Plaintiff”) initiated the present action by filing his Original Petition in Cause No. 2017CI10102, in the 438th Judicial District of Bexar County, Texas on June 2, 2017 (the “State Court Action”). *See* Plaintiff’s Original Petition, attached as **Exhibit A**.

2. Defendant appeared and answered on July 7, 2017, asserting a general denial to the claims and allegations made in Plaintiff’s Original Petition. *See* Defendant’s Original Answer, attached as **Exhibit B**.

3. Pursuant to 28 USC § 1446(a) all a copy of all process, pleadings, and orders served upon Defendant in the State Court Action are incorporated in **Exhibit A**.

4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), promptly after filing this Notice of Removal, Defendant will give written notice of the removal to Plaintiff through his attorney of record, and to the clerk of the 438th Judicial District Court of Bexar County, Texas.

5. Pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1446(b)(1) and 1446(c)(1) this Notice of Removal has been timely filed within 30 days of service on Defendant of Plaintiff's Original Petition and less than one year after the commencement of this action.

II. JURISDICTION

6. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and the matter is removable to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) because there is complete diversity of citizenship between the properly joined parties and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

A. Diversity of Parties

7. Plaintiff is domiciled in Bexar County, Texas. *See Exhibit A*, ¶ 2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), therefore, Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Texas.

8. Nationwide General Insurance Company is organized under the laws of Ohio and maintains its principal place of business in Columbus, Ohio. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1), therefore, Nationwide is a citizen of the State of Ohio.

9. Accordingly, there is complete diversity between the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

B. Amount in Controversy

10. Plaintiff's Original Petition states that Plaintiff seeks "monetary relief of over \$100,000.00." *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition, **Exhibit A**, ¶ 1. Plaintiff's Original Petition later

states that Plaintiff seeks monetary relief “in excess of \$200,000.00 but less than \$1,000,000.00.” See Plaintiff’s Original Petition, **Exhibit A**, ¶ 60. The threshold for diversity jurisdiction, \$75,000, is therefore met by the allegations of Plaintiff’s Original Petition.

11. Plaintiff further seeks compensation for (1) actual damages, (2) treble damages, (3) 18% penalty interest attorney’s fees, (4) mental anguish damages, (5) pre and post-judgment interest, and (6) attorney’s fees. See **Exhibit A**, ¶¶ 53-58. Plaintiff has alleged that Defendant’s conduct was wrongful and done knowingly, entitling him to a trebling of actual damages under Texas Insurance Code Chapter 541. See **Exhibit A**, ¶ 41; Tex. Ins. Code sections 541.002 & 541.152. Penalties, exemplary damages, and attorneys’ fees are included as part of the amount in controversy.¹

12. The amount in controversy plainly exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. See **Exhibit A**. Accordingly, the amount in controversy requirement of 28 U.S.C. § 1332(b) is satisfied.

III. CONCLUSION

13. Removal of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) is proper as the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction over the matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and as all requirements for removal under 28 U.S.C. § 1446 have been met.

14. WHEREFORE, Defendant Nationwide General Insurance Company hereby provides notice that this action is duly removed.

¹ See *H&D Tire & Automotive-Hardware, Inc. v. Pitney Bowes Inc.*, 227 F.3d 326, 330 (5th Cir. 2000); see also *St. Paul Reinsurance Co. v. Greenberg*, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Patrick M. Kemp

Patrick M. Kemp

Texas Bar No. 24043751

pkemp@smsm.com

Robert G. Wall

Texas Bar No. 24072411

rwall@smsm.com

Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney

100 Congress Avenue, Suite 800

Austin, Texas 78701

(512) 476-7834

(512) 476-7832 - Facsimile

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
NATIONWIDE GENERAL INSURANCE
COMPANY**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following counsel of record via certified mail, return receipt requested on this 7th day of July, 2017 to:

Chidi Oha

James M. McClenny

J. Zachary Moseley

McClenny Moseley & Associates, PLLC

411 N. Sam Houston Parkway E., Suite 200

Houston, Texas 77060

chidi@mma-llc.com

james@mma-llc.com

zach@mma-llc.com

9414 7266 9904 2061 9320 80

/s/ Patrick M. Kemp

Patrick M. Kemp